

REMARKS

In the final Office Action the Examiner rejected claims 8, 16, and 19 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,588,098 to Chen; and rejected claims 1, 4, 6, 9, 12, 14, 17, and 18 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,588,097 to Ono et al.

By this amended, Applicants amend method claims 9 and 12. Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 9, 12, 14, 15, and 16-19 are allowable for at least the reasons set in the Reply to Final Office Action filed on June 7, 2006.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application and the timely allowance of the pending claims.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: July 6, 2006

By: 

Michael R. Kelly
Reg. No. 33,921